

REMARKS:

This is a Supplemental Amendment to the response filed on January 19, 2006 in response to the Office Action mailed October 19, 2005.

The arguments presented in the January 19, 2006 Amendment are incorporated herein. Claims 20-28 are added herein. Claims 3, 8 and 12-19 remain cancelled. No new matter is presented. Thus, claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-11 and 20-28 are pending and under consideration. The rejections are traversed below.

NEW CLAIMS:

New claim 20 recites, "rewriting a GUI information of a GUI definition file for the application of said original operating system environment to a GUI information of a target GUI definition file including adding GUI information of a menu status displayed using the GUI definition file of said original operating system environment to the target GUI definition file." The application is then transferred from the original operating system environment to the target operating system environment and used "within the target operating system environment."

New claim 23 also recites, "adding GUI information of a menu status displayed using the GUI definition file of said original operating system environment to the target GUI definition file to display a created GUI image in said target operating system environment", and using the application "within the target operating system environment."

New claim 26 recites, "the graphical user interface files used to display the menu status via the first operating system is added to the definition file created for the application in the second operating system to transfer the application from the first operating system to the second operating system and using the application within the second operating system. New claim 27 similarly recites, "adding graphical user interface files of the application used to display a menu status in the first operating system to graphical interface files including a definition file" and "using the application "within the second operating system."

The claimed method of new claim 28 includes, "reading the generated file to display the menu of the application within the second operating system having the second platform", where the file includes "hierarchically arranged windows defining display of the menu in the second operating system."

Applicants respectfully submit that Hiromichi (JP 07-73011), Okada (U.S. Patent No. 5,956,029), what the Examiner asserts as Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA) and

"Performance of Windows NT Porting Environments" (Blanton), alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest the above-discussed features of independent claims 20, 23 and 26-28.

For the at least the above-mentioned reasons, claims depending from the independent claims are also patentably distinguishable over the cited references.

Therefore, in light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that new claims 20-28 are patentably distinguishable over the cited references.

CONCLUSION:

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 02/17/2006

By: Temnit Afework
Temnit Afework
Registration No. 58,202

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501